

MISSOURI 20__ WRP RANKING FORM

Landowner Name: _____ WRP #: _____
 Address: _____

 Phone Number _____
 County _____ FIPS Code _____
 Tract No. _____ Farm No. _____
 Congressional District _____ Hydrologic Unit (14 digit) _____
 Section _____ Township _____ Range _____
 Latitude _____ Longitude _____
 Planning team members: _____
 Easement Length: Perpetual ____ 30 Year ____ Restoration Cost Share Agreement ____
 Length of C/S Agreement: _____ (10 year minimum)

A NRCS employee with appropriate design approval responsibility must review and approve the feasibility of the planned restoration activities before this preliminary plan can be submitted.

1. ECO-REGION VALUE (See attached map - 5, 25, 30) _____

COST FACTORS

2. EASEMENT COST/AC.: (Geographical Cap or Landowner Bid) = _____
 EASEMENT COST FACTOR: $(2000 - \text{Easement Cost/Ac.}) / 50 =$ _____
 3. TOTAL RESTORATION COSTS: _____
 RESTORATION COSTS PER ACRE _____
 RESTORATION COST FACTOR: $(400 - \text{Cost/Ac.}) / 20 =$ _____

ECOLOGICAL FACTORS

Hydrology

A. RESTORABLE ACRES	B. WETLAND/RESTORED ACRES	C. NON-RESTORABLE ACRES
PC _____	Natural wetland _____	Upland _____
FW _____	Leveed System _____	Sand _____
FWP _____	Open Water _____	NW _____
Degraded Wetland* _____	Riparian _____	Other _____

*** Degraded Wetland condition/justification:** _____

TOTAL "A" _____ + TOTAL "B" _____ + TOTAL "C" _____
 = **Total acres in easement:** _____

4. PERCENT OF TOTAL EASEMENT AREA RESTORABLE TO
 WETLAND: _____ % x .50 = **A.** _____

5. PERCENT OF TOTAL EASEMENT AREA ALREADY RESTORED
 TO WETLAND: _____ % X .10 = **B.** _____

6. PERCENT OF TOTAL EASEMENT AREA WITH EXISTING
 PC OR FW: _____ % x .20 = _____

7. WATER RECHARGE SOURCE (Cumulative)..... _____
- | | |
|----------------|----|
| River/stream | 20 |
| Surface runoff | 15 |
| Subsurface | 10 |
8. PERCENTAGE OF THE SITE THAT CONTRIBUTES ADDITIONAL FLOODWAY: _____ % /3 = _____

Water Quality

9. EASEMENT SITE LIES WITHIN THE 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN (**FEMA**) IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO IMPAIRED STREAM SEGMENTS AS INDICATED BY **303d LIST** AND BY THE PRIORITY OF CONTAMINATION. "ALL OTHERS" ARE EASEMENT SITES THAT DO NOT LIE IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO AN IMPAIRED SEGMENT OF STREAM OR ARE NOT ON THE 303d LIST. _____

High priority	5
Medium priority	4
Low priority	3
All others	2

Name of impaired stream segment as noted in 303d list _____

Other Ecological Factors

10. EASEMENT SIZE:..... _____
- | | |
|-----------------|----|
| >320 acres..... | 15 |
| 160 – 319..... | 12 |
| 100 - 159..... | 10 |
| 50 – 99..... | 6 |
| 10 – 49..... | 4 |
| <10..... | 0 |
11. PROXIMITY TO STATE/FEDERAL/PRIVATE WETLAND AREA _____
- | | | | |
|-------------|----|--------------|---|
| Adjoining* | 15 | 5 – 6 miles | 5 |
| < 1 mile | 10 | 6 – 7 miles | 4 |
| 1 – 2 miles | 9 | 7 – 8 miles | 3 |
| 2 – 3 miles | 8 | 8 – 9 miles | 2 |
| 3 – 4 miles | 7 | 9 – 10 miles | 1 |
| 4 – 5 miles | 6 | > 10 miles | 0 |

* Site considered has boundary that abuts existing State, Federal or Private Wetland Area boundary.

12. **Federally Listed species:** Site intersects heritage data of one of more federally listed threatened or endangered species (E or C in FEDSTAT), and will directly impact recovery or protection of that species.

State Listed species: Site intersects heritage data of one or more state listed threatened or endangered species (E in STSTAT), and will directly impact recovery or protection of that species.

Great: Site intersects heritage data of one or more species of conservation concern (S1 – S3 in STSTAT) not fitting criteria above and restoration will likely provide habitat for those species.

Minimal: Site does not intersect any heritage data but will provide high quality habitat for migratory birds and other wetland wildlife as well as potential use by Endangered or Candidate species.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO STATE OR FEDERAL THREATENED, RARE, OR ENDANGERED SPECIES _____

Federal species - critical.....	30	_____
State species - critical.....	24	_____
Great.....	20	_____
Minimal.....	12	_____

Species checked by (check one) Buffered NRCS Heritage Database Data ___ Visual*___

***a “Visual” will need to be documented with Natural History Database Forms and forwarded to Dorothy Butler, MDC, Wildlife Division, Jefferson City, Missouri.**

Provide management justification for Federal or State species claimed: _____

13. IS THE SITE SUBJECT TO AN EXISTING **FLOWAGE EASEMENT***? YES = 0 NO = 30 _____

*** Flowage Easement will not restrict land or water level management capabilities of offered tract.**

14. PERCENT OF SITE CROPPED IN PREVIOUS 5 YEARS? _____ % / 10 = _____

Includes eligible CRP

TOTAL OFFER INDEX _____

COWARDIN SYSTEM CLASSIFICATION

BEFORE		AFTER	
Classification	Acres	Classification	Acres
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

DESIGN APPROVAL

Date _____